WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Cesar Valentin Garcia-Aguilera	Case Number: CR 09-171-003-PHX-SRB	
present and w		1142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 18, 2009. Defendant was y a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	Inited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant for Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	aces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported	
	The defendant has no significant conf	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	e United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated	
	The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty application substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	of years imprisonment.	
at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as not	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condit DIRECTION	dant will flee.  ions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  DNS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The cof the United defendant to defendant to defendant to deliver a copy Court.  IT IS Services suffi	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpos APPEALS ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court error of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District of a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
	d.		

DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge